Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,172	PARTRIDGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MANDY C. LOUIE	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application: After Appeal Brief	
(1) MANDY C. LOUIE.	(3) <u>AARON GRUNBERG</u>	<u>ER</u> .
(2) <u>TIMOTHY MEEKS</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2009	Time: <u>2:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, 16-30, 44, 51, 56		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/713,172

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendments to claims 4, 16-30, 44, 51, 56 for allowance, where an agreement has been made over the proposed amendments. Moreover, further details are provided in the Examiner's amendment. Also on Sept. 10, 2009, permission was granted by Applicant's representative to correct claims to contain proper Markush language.